

PUBLICATION ETHICS AND MALPRACTICE STATEMENT

The Institute of Certified Specialists as Publisher of Journal of Digital Science (JDS) upholds the highest publication ethics for high-quality scientific publications, public trust in scientific findings, and that people receive credit for their work and ideas. This statement applies to the Journal of Digital Science (J. Dig.Sci., ISSN 2686-8296).

The editors of this journal enforce a rigorous peer-review process together with strict ethical policies and standards to ensure to add high quality scientific works to the field of scholarly publication. JDS policy on ethical oversight comply with the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) to its [Core Practices](#) and [Best Practice Guidelines](#).

AUTHOR/CONTRIBUTOR RESPONSIBILITIES

Before submitting, all authors must register on <https://orcid.org/> and indicate ORCID ID next to each author's name in the manuscript. It is in the author's interest to have the publication internationally recognized.

Authorship/contributorship should be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to the conception, design, execution, or interpretation of the reported study. Authors/contributors confirm that the submitted manuscripts are their original work. Editors may submit articles to electronic services to verify originality. Submitted articles should not be under consideration for publication and published elsewhere at the same time. All authors are aware of and agree to the article submission. The list of authors includes all individuals who have made significant contributions. The list of authors includes only those who have contributed. Changes in authorship must be declared to the journal and agreed to by all authors. Non-authors and financial support body/grant are duly noted in the acknowledgements section.

Authors certify that the data used in the analysis were obtained legitimately for the intended purpose. Fraudulent or illegally obtained data are not acceptable for publication. The authors fully and accurately describe the data used in the analysis and indicate the methodology used. Authors accurately report findings and provide factual interpretations. Authors should clearly distinguish between factual information and the author's opinion.

The authors have obtained the necessary approvals from the companies or persons involved in the work, if necessary. Authors are aware of human, animal, and other approvals required by their institution, professional organizations, laws, and government agencies. If there is no ethical authority, the authors certify that the research complies with the concepts outlined in the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE).

The authors guarantee that the work does not violate any existing copyright or proprietary rights, invasion of privacy, or libel. Authors must not use anyone else's words, drawings, or ideas without attribution. All sources should be cited where they are used, and reuse of wording should be limited and accompanied by a citation or quotation in the text. Permission for copyright for this purpose has been obtained and should be given to the editor. Please note that use of non-original excerpts without permission may result in later retractions. Authors are responsible for notifying the editor in a timely manner of significant errors discovered after publication of the manuscript. The editor will suggest an appropriate course of action in accordance with the corrections and retractions policy.

The views presented reflect the opinions and conclusions of the respective authors. The authors are solely responsible for the originality, accuracy of the results presented and the opinions expressed. The authors are responsible for any errors. The authors agree to hold the journal, its affiliates, subsidiaries, and parent companies, agents, employees, directors, editors, reviewers, and other affiliated parties harmless from liability, and agree to defend all of the above against any claims for damages, third-party liability, that may arise from the manuscript and its publication. Data sharing and reproducibility should comply with copyright Law. Published in JDS articles are protected by copyright ©Institute of Certified Specialists (ICS). Copyright laws protect intellectual property and make copying the words, expressions of ideas, illustrations, and products of others a crime. These laws are designed specifically to protect writers and artists from exploitation.

Since each manuscript are subject to peer review complying with Peer Review Manual and are expected to meet standards of academic excellence the authors need to take positive attitude towards the reviewer comments. If the comments make sense and can be incorporated using reasonable effort and time, they should take actions to modify the paper.

Upon acceptance of an article for publication in the journal, authors consent to publication in ICS by submitting a final version of the article via the submission form at <https://ics.events/journal-of-digital-science/>, ensuring that the authors and manuscript comply with these rules of ethics and unfair practices.

REVIEWER RESPONSIBILITIES

Reviewers should refrain from attempting to identify authors. Reviewers should base their comments only on the merits of the manuscript. Age, race, color, ethnicity, gender, religious beliefs, sexual orientation, and institutional affiliation should not influence the review. Reviewers should complete their work within the deadlines established by the editor. Reviewers must decline to review if for any reason they are unable to complete the review in the allotted time. Reviewers should also decline to review any manuscript for which they have a conflict of interest/competing interests.

Although critical evaluation of the manuscript is appropriate, reviewers should provide their comments in a positive tone. The reviewer's comments should be clear and recommend specific actions. Under no circumstances should a reviewer make derogatory remarks about any author or subject area. Reviewers should pay particular attention to relevant articles that have not been cited. In conjunction with their comments, reviewers should make a general recommendation: reject, revise, and resubmit or accept. Information in manuscripts is confidential until the manuscript appears in print. Reviewers may not use the information in unpublished or rejected manuscripts for their own purposes.

EDITOR RESPONSIBILITIES

The editors have complete and final authority for determining if a manuscript is accepted or rejected. The editors communicate manuscript information with reviewers and members of the editorial advisory board as necessary. Reviewer identities will not be disclosed. Manuscript submissions and contents are otherwise confidential. The editors use software tools to detect unoriginal text and combine their own comments with those of the reviewers. The editor may accept the paper without changes, require the authors to revise and resubmit the document, or reject the paper. In some instances, the editors may require multiple rounds of reviews and modifications. The editors communicate review result in a timely fashion. The editors reserve the right to edit, clarify or shorten the manuscript as deemed necessary.

Final publication decisions are based on manuscript merits only. Age, race, color, ethnicity, gender, religious beliefs, sexual orientation, and institutional affiliation have no bearing on the decision. However, manuscripts submitted or authored by persons residing in countries that would make it illegal by country law to accept the manuscript or associated fees, will be rejected regardless of the article merits. Editors may not expropriate information in unpublished or rejected manuscripts for their own purposes.

POST-PUBLICATION DISCUSSIONS AND CORRECTIONS

Minor typographical or grammar errors are not grounds for corrections. Corrections and discussions to published articles are no possible so authors should be attentive to their paper's contents before the final submission.

Retractions will occur due to misconduct of an author, author's violation of the PUBLICATION ETHICS AND MALPRACTICE STATEMENT, author's copyright violations and author warranty violations. The editors will promptly investigate any ethics violation complaints or reported errors in published manuscripts. Appropriate responses to positive findings will be determined based on the offense severity. Retracted papers will be replaced with a page stating the title author(s) and reasons for retraction on the ICS website only.

COMPLAINTS AND APPEALS

Authors may appeal a rejection by sending an e-mail to conf@ics.events. The appeal must provide a detailed justification, including point-by-point responses to the reviewers' and/or Editor's comments. The Editor of the journal will forward the manuscript and related information (including the identities of the referees) to the Editor-in-Chief, or Editorial Board member. The academic Editor being consulted will be asked to give an advisory recommendation on the manuscript and may recommend acceptance, further peer-review, or uphold the original rejection decision. A reject decision at this stage is final and cannot be reversed. Complaints can be submit on conf@ics.events.

ADVERTISING

To maintain independence from external influence, paid advertising materials are not accepted or placed in JDS.

EDITORIAL BOARD RESPONSIBILITIES

The editorial board, reviewers, editors and readership are responsible for pointing out any lapses in ethics. Any such ethics breaches should be reported to the Editor conf@ics.events. The editors and editorial board are responsible for reviewing and suggesting changes to publication policies including Ethics and Malpractice Statements.

LIABILITY LIMITATIONS

The information presented and opinions expressed in articles or presentations do not reflect opinions of the editors, editorial advisory board, reviewers or staff of the Institute of Certified Specialists (ICS). While articles are actively reviewed, ICS does not warrant the correctness of information provided in articles or the suitability of information in articles for any purpose.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST/ COMPETING INTERESTS

Conflicts of interest (COIs, also known as ‘competing interests’) occur when issues outside research could be reasonably perceived to affect the neutrality or objectivity of the work or its assessment. This can happen at any stage in the research cycle, including during the experimentation phase, while a manuscript is being written, or during the process of turning a manuscript into a published article.

If unsure, declare a potential interest or discuss with the editorial board. Undeclared interests may incur sanctions. Submissions with undeclared conflicts that are later revealed may be rejected. Published articles may need to be re-assessed, have a corrigendum published, or in serious cases be retracted.

Conflicts of interest do not always stop work from being published or prevent someone from being involved in the review process. However, they must be declared. A clear declaration of all possible conflicts – whether they actually had an influence or not – allows others to make informed decisions about the work and its review process.

If conflicts of interest are found after publication, this may be embarrassing for the authors, the Editor and the journal. It may be necessary to publish a corrigendum or reassess the review process.

Conflicts include the following:

- Financial — funding and other payments, goods and services received or expected by the authors relating to the subject of the work or from an organization with an interest in the outcome of the work;
- Affiliations — being employed by, on the advisory board for, or a member of an organization with an interest in the outcome of the work;
- Intellectual property — patents or trademarks owned by someone or their organization;
- Personal — friends, family, relationships, and other close personal connections;
- Ideology — beliefs or activism, for example, political or religious, relevant to the work;
- Academic — competitors or someone whose work is critiqued.

ACCESS AND ARCHIVING

Institute of Certified Specialists is a member of Crossref (<https://www.crossref.org>) and has DOI prefix: <https://doi.org/10.33847>. Whole JDS Issues included all published articles deposit to portal <https://www.crossref.org/metadatamanager/publications> complying with the ISO 26324 standard. Access to individual article is ensured through the DOI assigned to each article, i.e., in case JDS is no longer published.

Access to full text of published article can be requested via email conf@ics.events. Current archiving is done on the bottom of <https://ics.events/journal-of-digital-science/>.

Authors are encouraged to self-archive the final version of their published articles and/or deposit it into institutional repositories (such as those listed in the Directory of Open Access Repositories). For this purpose, authors are strongly encouraged to use the final PDF version published by ICS.

For the purposes of record-keeping, ICS retains copies of submitted manuscripts and supporting files. However, for articles that are rejected we will comply with requests from authors to delete files.